

>

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE





APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/825,664	04/03/2001	David R. Hembree	MI22-1680/US	4481
21567 75	590 07/24/2002			
WELLS ST. JOHN ROBERTS GREGORY & MATKIN P.S.			EXAMINER	
601 W. FIRST AVENUE SUITE 1300		NGUYEN, VINH P		
SPOKANE, W	A 99201-3828		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2829	
			DATE MAILED: 07/24/2002	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•	Applicati n No.	Applicant(s)					
	09/825,664	HEMBREE, DAVID R.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	VINH P NGUYEN	2829					
Th MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ars on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period v - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a rep of within the statutory minimum of thirty (will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT of the application to become ABAI	ly be timely filed (30) days will be considered timely. IS from the mailing date of this communication. NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status 1) ☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 10 l	May 2002						
	is action is non-final.						
		are prosecution as to the merits is					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowation closed in accordance with the practice under Disposition of Claims	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D.	. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
4)⊠ Claim(s) 14-17 and 53-67 is/are pending in the	e application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>14-17 and 53-67</u> is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	· · · · · · ·						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.						
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accep	oted or b) objected to by the	e Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.							
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.							
12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:							
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bu * See the attached detailed Office action for a list 	reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti	·						
a) The translation of the foreign language pro							
15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domest							
Attachment(s)							
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 7 	5) Notice of Inf	ummary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) formal Patent Application (PTO-152)					

Application/Control Number: 09/825,664

Art Unit: 2829

1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 14-17,563-67 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Smith (Pat # 5,475,317).

As to claims 14-15,17,54,55,60-62,65,67, Smith discloses an apparatus as shown in figure 3 having a die (2) with electrical couplings (2a), a chuck (10) with electrical couplings (10a) and an intermediate member (4,6) with electrical couplings (4a,4b,4d) and interconnects (4c) adapted to receive the die (2) and to electrically connect the electrical coupling of the die with the electrical coupling (10a) of the chuck (10). It would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art to recognize that the die (2) is an electronic device wafer. As to claims 16,59 and 66, it would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art to consider that a selection for the electrical interconnect such as "pogo pin" would have been an obvious design choice since this is an alternative type of interconnect using for conducting electrical signals. As to claims 53, 57 and 64, the interconnect member (4,6) are made of ceramic, therefore this material is considered as substantially nonconductive material. As to claims 56 and 63, it appears that an outwardly exposed surface of the electrical coupling (2a) of the die (2) or electronic device wafer is substantially coplanar with the surface of the die or electronic device wafer.

Application/Control Number: 09/825,664

Art Unit: 2829

3. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 14-17 filed on 05/10/02 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

4. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to VINH P. NGUYEN whose telephone number is (703) 305-4914.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-4900.

VINH P. NGUYEN PRIMARY EXAMINER

ART UNIT 2829 07/23/2002